

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE-United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/694,865	10/29/2003	Jian-Hua Pu	BHT-3126-164	5696
759	90 09/09/2004		EXAM	INER
TROXELL LA	AW OFFICE PLLC		BENNETT,	GEORGE B
SUITE 1404 5205 LEESBUR	RG PIKE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22041			2859	
		DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/694,865	PU, JIAN-HUA			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		G. Bradley Bennett	2859			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported to the second section apport.	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mety filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 C	October 2003.				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 October 2003</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The Section 1.	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat crity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage			
Attachmer	ıt(s)					
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	y (PTO-413)			
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D				

Application/Control Number: 10/694,865 Page 2

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 12, 14 and 15 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 12: this claim is unclear because it is written with language that refers back to elements making it appear to be a dependent claim. However, it is written in independent form. Therefore, it has been treated as an independent claim.

Claims 14 and 15: there is no antecedent basis for "the permanent magnetic body" in these claims.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-5 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kousek et al..

Application/Control Number: 10/694,865 Page 3

Art Unit: 2859

4. Kousek et al. discloses the invention as claimed where: **11** is a semiconductor laser; **13** is an optical deflector which is two plates; and **14**, **15**, and **17** are optical expanders in the claimed locations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 6-9 and 13-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kousek et al. in view of Pu.
- 7. Kousek et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Kousek et al. does not disclose all the specific optical deflectors or the specific plumb members as claimed. Pu discloses the various optical deflectors as claimed for the purpose of alternatively deflecting laser light in perpendicular planes. Pu also discloses a plumb 72 as claimed, which inherently can be copper or steel. The Pu device also has magnetic means associated with the plumb. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to use the features of Pu in combination with the device of Kousek et al. for the purpose of alternatively deflecting the light of Kousek et al. and automatically leveling the device of Kousek et al.
- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/694,865 Page 4

Art Unit: 2859

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to G. Bradley Bennett whose telephone number is 571.272.2237. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F.F. Gutierrez can be reached on 571.272.2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

G. Bradley Bennett Primary Examiner Art Unit 2859

gbb 7 SEP 2004